

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/020,702 10/30/2001		Steven Wang	INTL-0679-US (P12997)	9571		
21906	7590	09/25/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRU		,	TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R			
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2141	2141	
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/25/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/020,702	WANG, STEVEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nicholas R. Taylor	2141		

Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropringly set in the final Offi	ate extension fee
 NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 August 2006. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
AMENDMENTS	ly must be filed within the time perio	oa set torrn in 37 CFR	41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Previous rejections under 35 U.S.	.C. § 101 and 112.	•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi ovided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a No nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the constant of the co	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	0 . 1		
	Maurale	HARIA	
	SUPERVISORY PAT	ENT EXAMINER	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 7. The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and 112 are withdrawn. The remaining rejections in the FINAL office action under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 103 as applied to claims 1-30 still stand.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections from the previous FINAL office action under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103 filed 5/26/2006 were not overcome.